1			
2			
3			
4			
5		Hon. David G. Estudillo	
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
8 9	JULIE BALLOU, Plaintiff,	No. 3:19-cv-05002-DGE VERDICT FORM	
10	VS.	VERDICI FORM	
11 12 13	JAMES McELVAIN, P.H.D., in his individual and representative capacity; and CITY OF VANCOUVER, a municipal corporation, Defendants.		
15	WE, THE JURY, do hereby render our verdict as follows: DISCRIMINATION CLAIMS		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	1. On Plaintiff's claim for sex discrimination under Title VII of the Civil Rights Action 1964, was Plaintiff discriminated against because of her sex? Yes No Answer Question 2. 2. On Plaintiff's sex discrimination claim under the Washington Law Against Discrimination, was Plaintiff subjected to disparate treatment discrimination against because ther sex? Yes No Answer Question 3.		
	VERDICT FORM - 1 (W.D. Wash. Cause No. 3:19cv05002-DGE)		

ORIGINAL

1	3. On Plaintiff's sex discrimination in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the		
2	Fourteenth Amendment against James McElvain and the City of Vancouver, was Plaintiff		
3	discriminated against because of her sex?		
4	Yes X No		
5	Answer Question 4.		
6	Answer Question 4.		
7			
8	RETALIATION CLAIMS		
9	4. Did the Defendant City of Vancouver violate Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of		
10	1964 by retaliating against Plaintiff because she opposed sex discrimination?		
11	Yes No		
12	Answer Question 5.		
13	5. Did the Defendant City of Vancouver violate the Washington Law Against		
14	Discrimination by retaliating against Plaintiff because she opposed sex discrimination?		
15	Yes No		
16	Answer Question 6.		
17	6. Did the Defendant James McElvain violate Plaintiff's First Amendment rights by		
18	retaliating against Plaintiff because she petitioned the government for redress of her		
19	grievances?		
- 1	Yes No		
20	If you answered any one of questions 1 through 6 "yes," answer question 7. If you answered		
21	every one of questions I through 6 "no," the Presiding Juror should sign and date this Verdica		
22	Form.		
23			
24			
25			
	VERDICT FORM - 2 (W.D. Wash, Cause No. 3:19c.v.05002DGE)		

1 2	7. Since you found a Defendant or Defendants discriminated or retaliated against		
3	Plaintiff for one or more of the adverse actions identified above, what are Plaintiff damages for		
4	each of the adverse actions you found to be unlawful? Please note that the parties have		
5	stipulated as to the amount of economic damages through trial. If you did not find the specific		
6	adverse action listed to be unlawful, write "NA."		
7	(a): Subjecting Plaintiff to investigations		
8	Non-Economic Damages \$		
	Punitive Damages (as to McElvain only) \$		
9	(b): Denying Plaintiff promotion in July 2018:		
10	Non-Economic Damages \$		
11	Punitive Damages (as to McElvain only) \$ \(\infty\)/A		
12	(c): Denying Plaintiff promotion in October 2018:		
13	Non-Economic Damages \$\\lambda/A		
14	Punitive Damages (as to McElvain only) \$		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

(d): Denying Plaintiff promotion in January 2019: Non-Economic Damages \$\$5,456.20

Punitive Damages (as to McElvain only) \$ \(\text{\text{\$\sum_{\text{\text{Punitive}}}} \)

The Presiding Juror should sign and date this Verdict Form

DATED this 22 day of November, 2023.

Presiding