# APPENDIX H: TSP Modal Networks, Policies and Programs

This memorandum establishes a set of policies and programs organized by big idea that implement the TSP.

A series of modal networks and the methodology for how they were created is also included.

Vancouver Moves: Transportation System Plan | 2024-2044





DATE: December 22, 2022

- TO:City CouncilCC:Eric Holmes, City Manager, City Manager's Office
- **FROM:** Rebecca Kennedy, Community Development Department Kate Drennan, Transportation Planning, Community Development Department Tom Brennan, Nelson\Nygaard Consulting Stephanie Wright, Nelson\Nygaard Consulting

RE: VANCOUVER TSP POLICIES AND PROGRAMS

## Introduction

The heart of the Transportation System Plan (TSP) is a set of policies, programs, and capital projects that implement Vancouver's transportation vision. Capital projects will emerge from the modal networks (walking/rolling and small mobility in particular) and will be drafted in early 2023. The focus of this memo is on the policies and programs.

The TSP will adopt a set of policies and programs that will be implemented through the comprehensive plan update, street standards update, subarea plans, concurrency, and TIF, for example. The goal is to both introduce new policies and programs and deliver them within mechanisms and processes that are already in place. Each modal element below includes policies, programs, and an approach to network development (where applicable).

## **Getting Around Vancouver**

Each type of transportation is described below. Networks have been developed for several of these topics – these networks form the overarching policies of the TSP.

## Street Network

The street network is the backbone of the city's transportation system. It is not a "mode" in the typical sense, but it is the infrastructure upon which people walk, roll, park, or drive. City streets and sidewalks account for about 20 percent of Vancouver's land area – four times the land dedicated for parks.

The community and City Council recognize that streets need to serve a multitude of users. The historic focus on car travel, however, continues to be rooted in city policies that affect the planning, design, and operation of streets. The policies and programs embedded in the 'street network' change that bias toward building multimodal streets.

# **Key Challenges**

- Functional classification: The existing method for classifying streets is based on their function in terms of mobility, traditionally defined as vehicle speed and throughput. Functional classification remains a common framework for determining street design and operational requirements, but it does not consider land use context or modes beyond driving.
- Measuring network performance: The traditional way of defining and measuring the street network focuses on driving conditions and prioritizes fast movement between places.
- Street connectivity: Vancouver does not have a well-connected grid throughout the city. Travel is funneled onto arterial and collector streets. Neighborhood streets that are not connected to destinations (due to fences/walls or cul-de-sacs) mean those who can choose to will drive and those who do not have a car have a long, circuitous trip.
- Transportation impact fees and concurrency: The City's current methodology for evaluating project impacts and leveraging development fees is based on motor vehicle travel times and mitigations.

# **Network Development**

A key policy associated with this mode is designating a set of complete corridors where comfortable, low-stress multimodal access and mobility is prioritized. Achieving this may require challenging tradeoffs. Complete corridors see a high demand for travel due to the presence of destinations and lack of direct parallel routes. Many are concurrency corridors, a network of streets where motor vehicle speeds and travel times are expected to stay within certain thresholds. To meet community goals, it will be critical for these corridors to provide a low-stress experience for people taking transit, walking, or using small mobility as well as driving. See Big Idea: Create Complete Corridors for information on the policies and programs that support the creation of complete multimodal corridors.

The complete corridors shown on the map in Figure 2 were identified using the process shown in Figure 1.

| STEP  | DETAILS  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Step One: Identify streets that are on all three<br>mode-specific networks (Pedestrian, Bicycle and<br>Small Mobility, and Transit) | <ul> <li>Saint Johns and Saint James Blvds</li> <li>Fort Vancouver Way</li> <li>Grand Blvd</li> <li>Fourth Plain Blvd</li> <li>E 18<sup>th</sup> St</li> <li>NE Burton Rd/NE 28<sup>th</sup> St</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>E McLoughlin Blvd</li> <li>Andresen Rd</li> <li>NE 112th Ave</li> <li>NE 136<sup>th</sup>/137<sup>th</sup> Aves</li> <li>NE 164<sup>th</sup>/162<sup>nd</sup> Aves</li> <li>SE 192<sup>nd</sup> Ave</li> <li>SE 34<sup>th</sup> St</li> </ul> |

## Figure 1 Complete Corridor Network Process

City of Vancouver Page 3 of 49

| STEP   | DETAILS   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Step Two: Add funded Complete Streets studies<br>and other potential future Complete Streets<br>corridors identified by the City | <ul> <li>Funded corridors include:</li> <li>Main Street</li> <li>Fourth Plain Boulevard</li> <li>NE 112<sup>th</sup> Avenue</li> <li>McGillivray Boulevard</li> </ul> | <ul><li>Planned corridors include:</li><li>E 33rd St</li><li>E 29th St</li></ul> |
| Step Three: Add additional important multimodal<br>connections based on feedback from City Council<br>and staff                  | <ul> <li>MacArthur Blvd</li> <li>E 5<sup>th</sup> St</li> <li>Extend Andresen Rd north to I-205</li> </ul>  |  |

#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 4 of 49

Figure 2 Complete Corridors



## **Pedestrian and Small Mobility**

## **Pedestrian Mobility**

Walking, with or without the aid of a mobility device, is the most basic form of transportation.<sup>1</sup> Vancouver residents and visitors walk to meet daily needs, improve health, and connect to people, places, and natural areas. Even trips by bus and by car begin and end with walking. Everyone is a pedestrian at points throughout their day.

A connected, safe, and comfortable pedestrian network ensures people have equitable access and opportunity to contribute to a vibrant and healthy city. A high-quality walking network will make routes accessible for people with disabilities per the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

## **Key Challenges**

- Sidewalk gaps: Forty-four percent of Vancouver's streets are missing a sidewalk on one or both sides. Nine miles of arterial streets are missing sidewalks on both sides. Large concentrations of sidewalk gaps are present north and east of downtown, as well as in the southeast neighborhoods of the city.
- Lack of guidance on crossing spacing: Comfortable and frequent pedestrian crossings are essential for neighborhood connectivity. Some cities have adopted spacing guidelines to help prioritize the installation of new marked crossings. Vancouver does not currently have a policy dictating the maximum distance between marked crossings. In many areas of town, pedestrians have to walk more than ten minutes to get to a designated crossing.
- Highways as barriers: I-5, SR-500, SR-14, and I-205 are major barriers to mobility for people walking, rolling, and riding. At many major crossings, existing sidewalks are narrow, creating an uncomfortable experience.

## **Pedestrian Network Development Approach**

The key overarching policy for this mode is adoption of a pedestrian network. This serves many functions including:

- Identifies the low-stress network (see LS 1 in Figure 18)
- Supports land use planning
- Can be used for capital project development and prioritization.

The pedestrian network was developed using the process in Figure 3 and is shown in Figure 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This plan uses a broad definition of the terms "pedestrian" and "walking." The term "pedestrian" includes people who travel on foot, as well as people who use mobility devices such as wheelchairs. The term "walking" includes people who use wheelchairs and other mobility devices to move around the City of Vancouver.

City of Vancouver Page 6 of 49

# Figure 3 Pedestrian Network Development Process

| STEP   | DETAILS   |
|--|---|
| Step One: Identify<br>Pedestrian Corridors and<br>Neighborhood Greenways | <ul> <li>Primary Pedestrian Corridors: <ul> <li>Transit corridors</li> <li>Other important east-west and north-south connections</li> <li>Major trails</li> <li>Connections to the interstate bridges</li> </ul> </li> <li>Secondary Pedestrian Corridors: <ul> <li>No transit, but connect to schools and parks</li> <li>Fills in network gaps, with a goal of a pedestrian corridor spaced every half-mile</li> </ul> </li> <li>Neighborhood Greenways: <ul> <li>Neighborhood streets recommended for low-stress travel as part of the bicycle network. These streets would provide comfortable and attractive environments for pedestrians and include many of the same improvements at street crossings.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
| Step Two: Identify<br>Pedestrian Centers                                 | <ul> <li>Pedestrian Centers were designated based on:</li> <li>Comprehensive Plan Centers</li> <li>Planned developments and city-designated overlays</li> <li>Areas with a dense mix of residential, commercial, and/or civic land uses</li> <li>Density of Essential Places (as defined by the city)</li> <li>Street connectivity</li> </ul>   |
| Step Three: Identify projects (to be completed)                          | Projects will be identified using the following data:<br>– Sidewalk gap locations<br>– Crossing spacing   |

#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 7 of 49

## Figure 4 Proposed Pedestrian Network with Pedestrian Centers



# Small Mobility

This mode includes people riding traditional human-powered bicycles, as well as electric bicycles, electric scooters, and other small human or electric-powered vehicles that generally move slower than cars.

For bicycling to be a viable option, people must feel comfortable and safe getting around their city. For example, bike facilities that are only comfortable to the most experienced and confident riders will not encourage people of all ages and abilities to ride for daily trips. Facilities must be continuous, consistent, connected, and comfortable to encourage potential riders who may be interested but don't have the experience or confidence riding.

# **Key Challenges**

- High-stress facilities: The city has an extensive designated bike network, but many of those facilities are shared with drivers or are narrow bike lanes on busy streets. These are highstress.<sup>2</sup> The community also stated that bike lanes drop suddenly, are uncomfortable through intersections, or have large drainage gutters.
- Lack of direct routes: Major arterial and collector roads provide the most direct connections east-west and north-south across Vancouver but are high-stress routes. Accessing destinations using lower-stress alternatives often requires excessive out-of-direction travel, making bicycling time-consuming and less convenient. Vancouver does not have a network of routes parallel to direct arterials and collectors
- Few continuous neighborhood streets: In some areas of Vancouver with more suburban development patterns (especially on the east side of the city), few or no options for low-stress alternatives to high-stress routes exist. Examples of this challenge include Fourth Plain Blvd east of NE 62<sup>nd</sup> Ave and NE 112<sup>th</sup> Ave just east of I-205.
- Crossing of major roads: Even when excellent low-stress routes exist (such as shared roadways on neighborhood streets), crossing a major street can be stressful. These intersections typically do not have any traffic control.
- Highways as barriers: I-5, SR-500, SR-14, and I-205 are major barriers for people walking, rolling, and riding. At many major crossings, bicyclists are not separated from vehicle traffic.

# Small Mobility Network Development Approach

The key overarching policy for this mode is adoption of a bicycle and small mobility network. This network development will serve as the low-stress network (see LS 1 in Figure 18). The network was developed using the process in Figure 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Higher stress means higher vehicle speeds, higher traffic volumes, wider roadways with more lanes, and less space dedicated to bicycle travel.

| Figure 5 | Small Mobility | V Network Develo | pment Process |
|----------|----------------|------------------|---------------|
|          |                |                  |               |

| STEP  | DETAILS   |
|---|---|
| Step One: Determine level of<br>stress along existing bicycle<br>network                          | <ul> <li>Joined data on Level of Traffic Stress (LTS) with the City's data on existing bicycle facilities to determine where the network is low-stress (LTS 1 or 2) and where it is high-stress (LTS 3 or 4)</li> <li>Identified the high-stress and low-stress elements of the existing network</li> <li>Noted segments of the existing network the City had already determined to be "Difficult Connections" regardless of their LTS</li> </ul> |
| Step Two: Locate missing<br>segments, both high- and low-<br>stress not previously identified     | <ul> <li>Located areas where there were notable gaps in the network and identified low-stress connections where possible or high-stress connections if no other option existed</li> <li>Located areas where clusters of Essential Places could not be reached by the bike network and determined additional routes needed</li> </ul>  |
| Step Three: Bring network to half-<br>mile density standard where<br>possible                     | <ul> <li>Where possible, located areas without sufficient network density and added additional routes<br/>where possible (with a priority on low-stress connections)</li> </ul>   |
| Step Four: Revise network,<br>designate facilities, and identify<br>projects<br>(to be completed) | <ul> <li>Revised and refined this network based on City feedback</li> <li>Designated recommended facilities for segments within the network</li> <li>Next step: Identify and prioritize capital improvement projects</li> </ul>   |

# **Facility Selection Guidance**

A critical element of the low-stress bike network is having a policy in place around facility selection (see LS 1.1 in Figure 18).

The table below (Figure 6) represents guidance from the National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO) on selecting bicycle facilities that provide a low-stress travel experience for all ages and abilities. This guidance considers existing street conditions such as vehicle travel speed, traffic volume, number of lanes, and operational context.

As a NACTO member city, Vancouver will adopt this policy and use it in all corridor planning and design. The recommended facility may not always be feasible due to roadway constraints, public input, or other factors. Yet aiming for an all ages and abilities standard is in line with the city's Complete Streets policy and is a high priority for the community.

## Figure 6 Bicycle and Small Mobility Facility Selection

|   | R                                    | oadway Context                       | All Ages & Abilities   |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Target Motor<br>Vehicle Speed   | Target Motor Vehicle<br>Volume (ADT) | Motor Vehicle Lanes                  | Key Operational Considerations   | Bicycle Facility   |  |
| Any   |                                      | Any                                  | Any of the following: high curbside<br>activity, frequent buses, motor<br>vehicle congestion, or turning<br>conflicts <sup>‡</sup> | Protected Bicycle Lane   |  |
| < 10 mph  | Less relevant                        | No centerline, or                    | Pedestrians share the roadway  | Shared Street  |  |
| ≤ 20 mph  | ≤ 1,000 - 2,000                      | single lane one-way                  | < 50 motor vehicles per hour in the  | Bicycle Boulevard  |  |
|   | ≤ 500 - 1,500                        |                                      | peak direction at peak hour  | Dicycle Doulevalu  |  |
| ≤ 25 mph  | ≤ 1,500 - 3,000                      | Single lane each                     | Low curbside activity, or low<br>congestion pressure   | Conventional or Buffered<br>Bicycle Lane, or Protected<br>Bicycle Lane |  |
|   | ≤ 3,000 - 6,000                      | direction, or single<br>lane one-way |  | Buffered or Protected<br>Bicycle Lane                                  |  |
|   | Greater than 6,000                   |                                      |  |  |  |
|   | Any                                  | Multiple lanes per<br>direction      |  | Protected Bicycle Lane   |  |
|   |                                      | Single lane each<br>direction        | Low curbride activity or low   | Protected Bicycle Lane, or<br>Reduce Speed                             |  |
| Greater than 26<br>mph <sup>†</sup>   | ≤ 6,000                              | Multiple lanes per<br>direction      | congestion pressure  | Protected Bicycle Lane, or<br>Reduce to Single Lane &<br>Reduce Speed  |  |
|   | Greater than 6,000                   | Any                                  | Any  | Protected Bicycle Lane   |  |
| High-speed limited access roadways,<br>natural corridors, or geographic edge<br>conditions with limited conflicts |                                      | Any                                  | High pedestrian volume   | Bike Path with Separate<br>Walkway or Protected<br>Bicycle Lane        |  |
|   |                                      |                                      | Low pedestrian volume  | Shared-Use Path or<br>Protected Bicycle Lane                           |  |

# Contextual Guidance for Selecting All Ages & Abilities Bikeways

Source: National Association of City Transportation Officials (NACTO)

Together, the network development process and facility selection were used to create the TSP's future bicycling and small mobility network (Figure 7). Figure 8, Figure 9, Figure 10, Figure 11, and Figure 12 show the bicycling and small mobility network divided into five zones. This acts as both a key policy adopted in the TSP and will also lead to capital projects that implement this network.

#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 11 of 49





#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 12 of 49

## Figure 8 Zone 1 of Proposed Bicycle and Small Mobility Network



P.O. Box 1995 • Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 • 360-487-8000 • TTY: 360-487-8602 • www.cityofvancouver.us

#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 13 of 49

Figure 9 Zone 2 of Proposed Bicycle and Small Mobility Network



P.O. Box 1995 • Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 • 360-487-8000 • TTY: 360-487-8602 • www.cityofvancouver.us

#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 14 of 49

## Figure 10 Zone 3 of Proposed Bicycle and Small Mobility Network



#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 15 of 49

## Figure 11 Zone 4 of Proposed Bicycle and Small Mobility Network



P.O. Box 1995 • Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 • 360-487-8000 • TTY: 360-487-8602 • www.cityofvancouver.us

#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 16 of 49

# Figure 12 Zone 5 of Proposed Bicycle and Small Mobility Network



#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 17 of 49

# Transit

Transit is a space-efficient and climate-friendly way of transporting people around the region. The city plays an important role in supporting transit, even though the city does not operate it (Figure 8).

# Figure 13 City's Role in Transit



Transit service in Vancouver today includes a set of local, regional, and express routes and anondemand service, The Current. C-TRAN has also invested in Bus Rapid Transit and has one corridor in operation (Fourth Plain) and another in construction (Mill Plain).

# **Key Challenges**

- City versus C-TRAN control. The city owns the infrastructure on which transit operates, but C-TRAN operates bus services.
- Impacts of COVID-19. During pandemic ridership dropped 43 percent between June 2020 and June 2019. Lower ridership has led to a decrease in C-TRAN's revenues and a questioning of the need for transit.
- Lack of coverage. Transit is not available in all city neighborhoods, like Walnut Grove. Only 38% of Vancouver's Urban Growth Area population lives within a ½ mile (10-minute walk) of a bus route running every 15 minutes during peak times.
- Access to transit. Access to transit is difficult. Vancouver's Pedestrian Crossing Improvement Policy does not provide guidance on crossings at bus stops.
  - 49 percent of all bus stops in the city are more than 200 feet from a marked crosswalk.
  - 34 percent of bus stops in the city are missing curb ramps or the sidewalk is in poor condition.
  - 7 percent of all bus stops in the city are missing a sidewalk.
- Transit priority. As traffic volumes have returned after the pandemic, buses are getting stuck in traffic and slowing down. There is little priority along the street or at intersections to make transit an option competitive to driving.

# **Network Development**

A set of Enhanced Transit Corridors (ETC) was developed as the key transit policy that will guide City investment in transit-supportive policies, programs, and projects (see T2 in Figure 17). This network

will be used in the City's development and prioritization of projects, and also as a communication tool for engagement with C-TRAN and the public.

# Step 1: Establish transit network for analysis

A subset of C-TRAN routes was selected as candidates to become Enhanced Transit Corridors (Figure 9). Regional routes on highways, for example, do not run on city streets and are important for regional connectivity but less relevant for the TSP. Criteria were:

- Routes has a peak frequency of 30 minutes or shorter
- Route serves local streets, rather than I-5 or I-205

See Figure 10 for a map of existing routes evaluated for ETC. Additionally, future frequent transit routes identified by C-TRAN were added to the network.

# Step 2: Identify ETC network

The following criteria was mapped and overlaid:

- 1. Equity. Routes and stops that retained ridership the most during the pandemic were used as indicators of corridors with a high reliance on transit. Even during stay at home orders, people taking these routes either had essential jobs or had to take transit to essential needs (doctor, grocery store, etc.)
- 2. Regional Growth. Household and employment projections in 2040 were used to understand where the regional will densify and may require a higher level of transit service.
- 3. Local Growth Priorities. The city's Comprehensive Plan has designated centers where higher levels of growth are desired.
- 4. Congestion. Locations of congestion point to areas where the bus needs investment in street design that will keep transit reliable.

A qualitative approach was taken to identify corridors that could benefit the most from inclusion in an Enhanced Transit Network.

# Results

Figure 10 shows the resulting ETC network.

City of Vancouver

Page 19 of 49

# Figure 14 Qualified Routes for Level of Service Analysis



City of Vancouver

Page 20 of 49

Figure 15 Proposed Enhanced Transit Corridors



# Freight

Vancouver's proximity to I-5, the Columbia River, and Port of Vancouver mean the city is a critical freight destination. The freight system also supports hundreds of thousands of jobs locally and across the region.

The City of Vancouver has several key freight corridors:

- Mill Plain Boulevard (Fourth Plain Boulevard to 164th)
- Fourth Plain Boulevard (Mill Plain Boulevard to I-5, Andresen Road to 162nd Avenue
- Andresen Road (SR-500 to 88th Avenue)
- 112th Avenue (Mill Plain Boulevard to 51st Street)
- 164th Avenue/162nd Avenue (SR-14 to Fourth Plain Boulevard)
- 192nd Avenue (SR-14 to 1st Street)

# **Key Challenges**

- Managing truck travel on city streets: The city does not have a set of designated streets for freight travel. Developing a freight network will help to improve the predictable movement of goods.
- Safety and modal conflicts: Safety challenges happen more frequently when commercial trucks interact with other modes on urban streets, especially people who walk or us small mobility. In Vancouver, streets with heavy freight movements are often the same streets where the city wants to prioritize non-driving modes.
- Congestion and bottlenecks: Bottlenecks occur on routes that experience heavy truck traffic, such as Truck Freight Economic Corridors, which affect timely movement of goods.
- Changing consumer behavior. Consumer behavior has changed, with more consumers using e-commerce rather than visiting brick-and-mortar stores. This resulted in a shift in freight distribution patterns to more point-to-point shipments from warehouses to homes and businesses, resulting in an increasing number of smaller commercial vehicles travelling on neighborhood streets.
- Urban delivery services: On-demand delivery services like Amazon Flex, Instacart, DoorDash, and UberEats mean some personal trips (e.g. to a local restaurant) are no longer necessary. But these new conveniences may also spur demand and increase vehicle miles traveled and congestion.
- Curb management. E-commerce and urban delivery mean more competition for curb space. This increased competition, combined with the human desire for convenience, means freight drivers often double park in a small mobility lane, posing safety issues.

# **Network Development**

An update to the freight network classification is a key policy for this mode (see CC 1.3 in Figure 16). The TSP aligned freight designations with state and nationally recognized freight corridors. A freight network classification system will:

- Improve freight movement to industrial and commercial centers and explore innovative goods delivery solutions.
- Develop a hierarchy of freight designations to aid in decision-making during street design and multimodal network planning and investment.
- Identify key local freight corridors and connection hubs and develop a system for measuring performance.
- Form partnerships to improve access to goods, services, employment, and education across the region.

The network approach is described in Figure 11 and shown in Figure 12.

## Figure 16 Freight Network Development

| STEP  | DETAILS  |
|---|--|
| Step One: Existing Conditions. Identify existing freight system trends, needs, and issues on existing freight corridors in the city.    | <ul> <li>Review issues, gaps, and opportunities on existing freight corridors.</li> <li>Consider leveraging RTC mobility datasets to identify truck movement patterns and choke points.</li> </ul>   |
| Step Two: Policy Review. Review existing freight policies and strategies and establish performance measures and designation hierarchy.  | <ul> <li>Review relevant updates to the city's inventory of standard drawings and details.</li> <li>Update standards in accordance with all departmental design guidance, functional classification system updates, and proposed Municipal Code amendments.</li> </ul>   |
| Step Three: Inventory. Create an inventory of facilities with freight mobility issues and identify gaps in the existing freight system. | <ul> <li>Identify facilities with WSDOT freight designation.</li> <li>Identify causes and solutions to freight bottleneck.</li> <li>Implement complete corridor considerations into freight planning, development, implementation, and operations of projects as feasible.</li> </ul>  |
| Step Four: Draft Network. Designate facilities and identify projects. Develop strategies to address freight mobility issues.            | <ul> <li>Design and implement freight projects to separate trucks from vulnerable people on the road and improve freight travel time.</li> <li>Identify freight projects, which may include improved safety and congestion at intersections and corridors through designating turn lanes, constructing roundabouts, traffic signal modifications, adaptive signal timing, new signals, freight signal priority, and improved sight distance for certain approaches.</li> </ul> |

## Figure 17 Freight Transportation Network



WSDOT

Esri, NASA, NGA, USGS, FEMA | County of Clark, WA, Dregon Metro, Dregon State Parks, State of Dregon GEO, WA State Parks GIS, Esri, HERE, Qarmin, SateGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, MET/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA | WSDOT | Washington State

P.O. Box 1995 • Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 • 360-487-8000 • TTY: 360-487-8602 • www.cityofvancouver.us

# Parking and Transportation Demand Management

A well-managed parking system is essential to the long-term success of Vancouver. Too little parking may stymie growth, while frustrating people who need to drive. Too much parking will take up valuable land, increase housing costs, generate vehicle trips, increase greenhouse gas emissions, and disincentivize multimodal travel.

Transportation demand management (TDM) is a suite of incentives that reduce single-occupancy vehicle trips. TDM policies and programs enhance the usability of city infrastructure. City-required and employer-based TDM efforts are essential to mitigate driving trips, meet greenhouse gas goals, address transportation inequity, and boost job satisfaction.

# **Key Challenges**

- Policies are outdated. The Downtown Parking Plan and Commute Trip Reduction (CTR) Plan are out of date, leaving Vancouver behind best practice approaches and tools to create a convenient user experience and maximize travel choices.
- Managing future growth. Additional growth is planned throughout Vancouver, but the existing
  municipal code does not require TDM as part of new development. Reductions in parking
  minimums can incentivize TDM, but the allowed TDM options are limited and do not include
  the policies or programs that are most effective at reducing parking demand. The municipal
  code has limited directives and development flexibility to right-size new parking built and
  incentivize shared parking.
- Parking is costly. The cost to build, maintain, and operate parking continues to increase, with construction costs for a single garage space reaching up to \$65,000. Each parking space built increases development costs, which gets passed on to future tenants and residents.
- Increasing curb demand. New technologies and services are increasing activity at the curb in downtown and high-demand areas. Management of the curb must now rationalize the demands for freight, shared mobility, passenger loading, parklets, special events, and multimodal services.
- Lack of staffing. Existing staffing levels make it challenging to advance policy reforms and implement new programs.

# **Network Development**

An overarching policy for parking is to use land use and other policies in the TSP (Enhanced Transit Corridors, for example) to prioritize where parking reform is needed, which would be the focus of policies and program G3, G4, and G5 in Figure 19. These areas include:

- Urban Centers as designated in the Comprehensive Plan
- Complete Corridors
- Transit Overlay District
- Enhanced Transit Network
- Pedestrian Corridors and Centers

The public parking facilities in downtown are crucial to creating a mixed-use and walkable district that allows people to park once and move around with minimal vehicle trips. The existing management approach and key jurisdictions allow for proactive management of the parking system and establish a framework for extending parking management best practices beyond downtown as the city grows and evolves. This background informs how parking and transportation demand management will be addressed through future programs and policies.

# **Emerging Mobility**

Advancements in digital technology and the need for more mobility options is resulting in a wave of innovative smart mobility options. Getting around Vancouver today and in the future will be increasingly shared, electric, connected, and, eventually, automated.

Emerging mobility, also called smart mobility, refers to transportation modes or technologies that are autonomous, connected, electric, and/or shared (ACES). The goal of a smart transportation system is to balance the environmental, economic, and social effects of mobility to produce a more sustainable and equitable transportation system. Smart mobility is not just technology developments, but an overarching concept that seeks to improve the urban transportation system as a whole, including land use integration and sustainable infrastructure development. With the work that the city is undertaking with International Data Corporation to create a Smart City plan, Vancouver has an opportunity to develop new policies and programs for the future of smart mobility.

## **Key Challenges**

- Lack of standard policies. Vancouver has a solid foundation in network connectivity, data privacy, and cybersecurity, but tools and processes for smart mobility are not standardized. Emerging mobility options could be codified as a requirement into standard tools such as Transportation Benefit Districts.
- Equity considerations. Smart mobility services are often limited to banked customers and ablebodied riders, increasing inequity in transportation options.

# POLICIES AND PROGRAMS

# **GOALS & BIG IDEAS**

The Big Ideas within the TSP act as an organizing tool to group policies and programs in a way that meets City goals and priorities. The following tables present key policies related to each big idea. Nested under each key policy are additional policies and programs that support implementation through a variety City controlled mechanisms (e.g. development code, project prioritization, data collection and analysis, etc).

#### Figure 18 Goals

|           | SAFETY   |      | TRANSPORTATION CHOICE   |   |
|-----------|--|------|---|---|
|           | Our transportation system keeps 1<br>people safe when they walk, roll,<br>bicycle, take transit, or drive.     |      | People in Vancouver have multiple<br>comfortable, convenient options to get<br>where they need to go.   | 4 |
|           | EQUITY   |      | REGIONAL CONNECTIVITY   |   |
| i į i     | Transportation in Vancouver supports 2<br>the needs of all and counteracts<br>historic and current inequities. | -tet | People and goods flow seamlessly<br>through the region, advancing our<br>shared prosperity.   | 5 |
| 5         | CLIMATE  |      | MAINTAINING OUR ASSETS  |   |
| 1         | Our transportation system helps to<br>reduce our impact on the climate and<br>natural environment.             | 4    | We take good care of our<br>transportation infrastructure and invest<br>strategically in new tools that help us<br>operate the system better. | 6 |
| Figure 19 | Big Ideas  |      |   |   |

| Support Thriving Neighborhoods |
|--------------------------------|
| Create Complete Corridors      |
|                                |
| Connect People to Transit      |
| Build Low-Stress Networks      |
| Make Growth a Benefit for All  |
|                                |

Embrace the Future

#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 28 of 49

# **Big Idea: Support Thriving Neighborhoods**

Support multiple convenient transportation options and connections in all of Vancouver's neighborhoods.

# Figure 20 Thriving Neighborhoods Polices and Programs

| Dist                      |        | Policy or  | Nama                            | Description   | Implementation Framework  |   |  |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Big idea                  | U      | Program    | Name                            | Description   | Resources Needed  | City Lead   | Key Partnerships   |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 1   | Key Policy | 15-Minute<br>Neighborhoods      | Make walking and small mobility<br>convenient through mixed-use zoning<br>and investment in complete corridors<br>to serve all travel modes. Foster<br>redevelopment within strategic<br>development nodes to support 15-<br>minute neighborhoods.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>Planning</li> </ul>                         | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Land Use and<br/>Transportation<br/>Development<br/>Review</li> </ul>   |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 1.1 | Program    | Neighborhood<br>Traffic Calming | Expand Neighborhood Traffic<br>Calming program with additional<br>funding to make streets feel safer for<br>walking and small mobility.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Additional funding</li> </ul>                                      | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Community Relations,<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Neighborhood<br/>Traffic Safety<br/>Alliance</li> <li>Neighborhood<br/>Associations</li> </ul>  |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 1.2 | Program    | Safe Routes to<br>School        | Develop a Vancouver Safe Routes to<br>School (SRTS) program that enables<br>and encourages students and<br>families to use active and shared<br>transportation when getting to and<br>from school. The City will work with<br>schools to understand student travel<br>patterns, identify barriers to safe<br>walking, biking and rolling, and take<br>action to address those challenges. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Promotional materials</li> <li>Funding for improvements</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>                              | <ul> <li>Evergreen &amp;<br/>Vancouver School<br/>Districts</li> <li>Schools and after-<br/>school programs</li> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Health<br/>professionals</li> </ul> |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 1.3 | Policy     | Connected<br>Neighborhoods      | Develop pathways between lots that<br>connect neighborhoods to schools,<br>parks, and other destinations. Clarify<br>legal responsibility for pathways.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Additional funding</li> </ul>                                      | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Community Relations,<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>City of Vancouver<br/>Attorney's Office</li> <li>Evergreen &amp;<br/>Vancouver School<br/>Districts</li> </ul>  |

City of Vancouver

Page 29 of 49

| Divideo                   |        | Policy or  | Nama                     | Description  | Implementation Framework   |   |   |
|---------------------------|--------|------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|---|
| Big idea                  | עו     | Program    | Name                     | Description  | Resources Needed   | City Lead   | Key Partnerships  |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 2   | Key Policy | Climate Corridors        | Develop climate corridors to mitigate<br>climate impacts through greener<br>streets, street tree canopies, natural<br>plantings for stormwater<br>management, linear parks, and other<br>climate resilient techniques. Use<br>City-owned right-of-way to create a<br>network of corridors that support<br>climate adaptation and safe and<br>healthy mobility as climate change<br>occurs. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Funding for improvements</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>Planning,<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation,<br/>Urban Forestry,<br/>Surface Water<br/>Engineering</li> <li>City Manager's<br/>Office: Climate<br/>Action Framework<br/>Coordination</li> <li>Parks, Recreation<br/>&amp; Cultural<br/>Services</li> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> <li>Schools</li> <li>Public health<br/>organizations</li> </ul> |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 2.1 | Policy     | Natural<br>Resources     | Use green materials and practices<br>when carrying out maintenance<br>functions (asphalt alternatives,<br>reducing pesticide usage, etc.).<br>Incorporate naturescaping where<br>feasible in new projects.   | <ul> <li>Additional<br/>maintenance staff if<br/>more labor-<br/>intensive practices<br/>are adopted</li> </ul>                                    | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation,<br/>Surface Water<br/>Engineering,<br/>Operations &amp;<br/>Maintenance</li> </ul> |   |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 2.2 | Program    | Street Trees             | Increase street tree canopy in<br>partnership Urban Forestry and<br>Parks, targeting high equity index<br>areas first.   | <ul><li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li><li>Maintenance</li></ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation,<br/>Urban Forestry</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Parks, Recreation<br/>&amp; Cultural<br/>Services</li> </ul>   |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 2.3 | Program    | Stormwater<br>Management | Adopt a palette of low-impact design<br>stormwater treatment tools that can<br>be integrated into maintenance and<br>capital projects. Evaluate cost and<br>maintenance and build into project<br>estimates.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time (additional<br/>maintenance staff<br/>needed to maintain<br/>swales and other<br/>retention projects)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works: Surface<br/>Water Engineering</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Operations &amp;<br/>Maintenance</li> </ul>  |

City of Vancouver

| raye 30 01 49 | Page | 30 | of | 49 |  |
|---------------|------|----|----|----|--|
|---------------|------|----|----|----|--|

| Dig Idea                  | п      | Policy or<br>Program | Policy or Namo       | Description   | Implementation Framework  |   |   |  |
|---------------------------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| big idea                  | U      |                      | Name                 | Description   | Resources Needed  | City Lead   | Key Partnerships  |  |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 3   | Key Policy           | Community<br>Streets | Develop guidance and<br>encouragement for community use of<br>the right-of-way, including plazas,<br>parklets, "streateries," open streets<br>events, public art, and demonstration<br>projects.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Funding for improvements</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Neighborhood and<br/>business<br/>associations</li> </ul>  |  |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 3.1 | Program              | Open Streets         | Publicize permit program for resident<br>use of streets (block parties). Work<br>with community partners to develop a<br>series of annual events that close<br>down neighborhood thoroughfares to<br>vehicle traffic for community use. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Promotional materials</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development Review,<br/>Comprehensive<br/>Planning</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>                     | <ul> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> <li>Neighborhood and<br/>business<br/>associations</li> </ul>   |  |
| Thriving<br>Neighborhoods | TN 3.2 | Program              | Street Art           | Create a community grant program to<br>allow murals, etc., on streets and<br>develop a palette of materials for use<br>in the program that meet safety<br>requirements.   | <ul> <li>Potential seed<br/>money for financial<br/>assistance of<br/>materials<br/>purchased for<br/>qualified groups<br/>(equity-focused)</li> <li>Potential funds for<br/>maintenance</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Parks, Recreation &amp;<br/>Cultural Services</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Operations &amp;<br/>Maintenance</li> <li>Neighborhood and<br/>business<br/>associations</li> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> </ul> |  |

# **Big Idea: Create Complete Corridors**

Create complete corridors that connect growth areas, support business, serve transit, and increase safety for all modes.

City of Vancouver

Page 31 of 49

# Figure 21 Complete Corridors Policies and Programs

| <b>D</b> : 11         |        | Policy or     |  |   | I  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------|---------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Big idea              | טו     | Program       | Name                                   | Description   | Resources Needed   | City Lead  | Key Partnerships   |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 1   | Key Policy    | Complete<br>Corridors                  | Create complete corridors throughout<br>the city that connect growth areas,<br>support business, serve transit, and<br>increase safety. Corridors connect<br>destinations and include identifying<br>parallel options.                                    | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Funding for improvements</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> |  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 1.1 | Policy        | Street Typologies                      | Identify a set of street typologies and<br>associated design elements for<br>application in capital, maintenance,<br>development, and planning projects.<br>Align with functional<br>classification/comprehensive plan<br>designations.                   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                               | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> |  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 1.2 | Policy Update | Functional<br>Classification<br>Update | Update functional classifications.<br>Reduce classifications on certain<br>streets to provide design standard<br>flexibility (e.g., reducing speed limits,<br>reducing design vehicle).   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                               | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 1.3 | Policy        | Freight<br>Classifications             | Develop a Freight Network<br>classification that designates where<br>freight movements are expected and<br>planned to occur. Freight corridors<br>within city limits should be in<br>alignment with state and nationally<br>recognized freight corridors. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                               | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Street &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 2   | Key Policy    | People-Based<br>Metrics                | Plan, design, and evaluate projects<br>and developments using people-<br>focused metrics that prioritize person<br>throughput, safety, and comfort. Use<br>the metrics to evaluate facility<br>performance and post-project<br>evaluations.               | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                               | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 32 of 49

| Pigldog               | п      | Policy or  | Nama                                   | Description   | I  | mplementation Framework  |                  |
|-----------------------|--------|------------|--|---|--|--|------------------|
| Big idea              | U      | Program    | Name                                   | Description   | Resources Needed   | City Lead  | Key Partnerships |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 2.1 | Policy     | Traffic Impact<br>Analysis             | Update traffic impact procedures for<br>capital and development projects to<br>include urban trip generation rates,<br>reduced auto demand along<br>Enhanced Transit Corridors, 2nd<br>highest peak hour, and TDM<br>mitigations.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                                       | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   |                  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 2.2 | Policy     | Multimodal<br>Concurrency<br>Standards | Update concurrency requirements to<br>ensure that developments and capital<br>projects consider multimodal impacts<br>and contribute to mode shift.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> |                  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 2.3 | Program    | TIP Prioritization                     | Program projects into the TIP with a<br>set of criteria based on equity, safety,<br>climate, and transportation choice.<br>Elevate projects that are in high<br>equity index areas, serve transit<br>stops, are near a school, are an<br>identified critical walking or bicycling<br>gap, or are along a high-crash<br>corridor.                          | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> |                  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 2.4 | Program    | Paving List                            | Prioritize corridors for repaving based<br>on equity, transit use, and pavement<br>condition.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                                       | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   |                  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 3   | Key Policy | Street Standards                       | Adopt street standards that create<br>comfortable, inviting multimodal<br>streets. Use NACTO standards as<br>primary guidance and integrate the<br>latest best practices from WSDOT,<br>AASHTO, and MUTCD for facility<br>selection and design, traffic control,<br>and signage and striping. Adopt into<br>standard plans referenced in VMC<br>Title 11. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> |                  |

City of Vancouver

Page 33 of 49

| Dialdee               |        | Policy or  | Nama   | Description   | I  | Implementation Framework  |   |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------|------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Big idea              | U      | Program    | Name   | Description   | Resources Needed   | City Lead   | Key Partnerships  |  |  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 3.1 | Policy     | Multimodal<br>Access Through<br>Street<br>Connectivity | Adopt connectivity standards to<br>improve pedestrian and small<br>mobility, safety and accessibility.<br>Apply standards to development,<br>capital, maintenance, and planning<br>projects including maximum block<br>length, unconnected streets, cul-de-<br>sac connections, linkages between<br>land uses, and multiple access<br>points. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time (Added<br/>capacity in grounds<br/>maintenance<br/>division to help<br/>maintain connector<br/>paths)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development Review</li> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Parks, Recreation<br/>&amp; Cultural<br/>Services</li> <li>Neighborhood<br/>associations</li> <li>Homeowner<br/>associations</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 3.2 | Policy     | Pedestrian<br>Crossing Policy                          | Update pedestrian crossing policy.<br>Make crossings plentiful, convenient,<br>and safe. Establish maximum<br>spacing between crossings, crossing<br>protection needed based on street<br>characteristics, and crossing design.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 3.3 | Policy     | Access<br>Management                                   | Update access management<br>standards to require longer spacing<br>between driveways serving the same<br>destination or shared parking lots.<br>Increase corner clearance distance.<br>Allow one driveway to service<br>multiple frontages.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time (design)</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Land Use and<br/>Transportation<br/>Development Review</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 4   | Key Policy | Vision Zero  | Adopt a Vision Zero policy<br>committing to end traffic fatalities and<br>serious injuries on Vancouver streets<br>by 2040. This policy would be a<br>resolution to address the intersecting<br>factors that lead to fatal crashes,<br>such as unsafe behavior, alcohol and<br>drug impairment, street design, and<br>traffic speeds.         | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Roadway<br/>jurisdictions</li> <li>Policymakers</li> <li>School districts</li> <li>Health<br/>professionals</li> <li>Law enforcement</li> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> </ul> |  |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 34 of 49

| Distides              | Policy or Name Description |         | Description                | Implementation Framework  |  |  |   |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Big idea              | U                          | Program | Name                       | Description   | Resources Needed   | City Lead  | Key Partnerships  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 4.1                     | Policy  | Lower Posted<br>Speeds     | Create speed-setting metrics that<br>consider safety and traffic analysis<br>and apply to facilities with a high<br>number of crashes where speed is a<br>contributing factor.  | <ul> <li>Design, signage,<br/>and materials</li> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                 | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   |   |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 4.2                     | Program | Citywide Safety<br>Program | Develop a citywide safety program<br>with dedicated funding and a set of<br>tools and programs to proactively<br>address safety.  | <ul> <li>Funding</li> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Roadway<br/>jurisdictions</li> <li>Policymakers</li> <li>School Districts</li> <li>Health<br/>professionals</li> <li>Law enforcement</li> </ul>      |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 4.3                     | Program | High-Crash<br>Corridors    | Create a process for regular updates<br>to the Local Roads Safety Plan by<br>analyzing existing collision data to<br>identify the city's "high-crash<br>corridors." Regularly update the<br>online dashboard of the high-crash<br>roads and apply the City's equity<br>index to determine where historically<br>marginalized communities are at<br>greater risk of death and injury while<br>traveling in their neighborhood. Use<br>this information to prioritize<br>investments, outreach and education<br>to improve safety and reach our<br>Vision Zero goals. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time (traffic<br/>engineering<br/>technician)</li> </ul>                    | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Vision Zero<br/>working group</li> <li>Roadway<br/>jurisdictions</li> <li>Policymakers</li> <li>School districts</li> <li>Law enforcement</li> </ul> |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 4.4                     | Program | Street User<br>Education   | Develop a suite of programs (geared<br>toward all travel modes) that focus on<br>the safe use of the transportation<br>network. This could include a wide<br>variety of communications, safety<br>demonstrations, and presentations at<br>schools and public events.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funds to contract<br/>with other<br/>organizations</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Local<br/>organizations or<br/>advocacy groups</li> <li>Neighborhood<br/>associations</li> <li>School districts</li> <li>Schools</li> </ul>          |

P.O. Box 1995 • Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 • 360-487-8000 • TTY: 360-487-8602 • www.cityofvancouver.us

City of Vancouver

Page 35 of 49

| Distildee             | Pig Idea D Po |            | Nama                         | Description  | I  | mplementation Framework  |   |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Big idea              | U             | Program    | Name                         | Description  | Resources Needed   | City Lead  | Key Partnerships  |
|                       |               |            |                              |  |  |  | <ul> <li>Washington<br/>Traffic Safety<br/>Commission</li> <li>Vancouver Police<br/>Department</li> </ul> |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 4.5        | Program    | Automated<br>Enforcement     | Enable automated enforcement. Pilot<br>along high-crash corridors and<br>engage the community in evaluation<br>of the pilot program.   | <ul> <li>Technology<br/>purchase</li> <li>Dedicated staff to<br/>review camera<br/>tickets</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> <li>Vancouver Police<br/>Department</li> </ul>                |   |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 4.6        | Program    | Pedestrian-Scale<br>Lighting | Identify priority locations for<br>pedestrian-scale lighting to increase<br>safety, visibility, and comfort. Create<br>maps of locations and program to<br>fund installation. Adopt low-spectrum<br>LEDs pointing downward in<br>neighborhoods to reduce light<br>pollution. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   |   |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 4.7        | Program    | Quick Build<br>Program       | Identify locations (crossings, travel<br>lanes, etc.) where interim safety<br>improvements could more quickly<br>address crash factors and concerns<br>of residents. Develop program<br>process and provide guidance for<br>City-led "Quick Build" projects in<br>ROW.       | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time (for both<br/>design and<br/>implementation)</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> <li>Materials (paint,<br/>bollards, etc.)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 5          | Key Policy | Project Delivery             | Deliver maintenance, capital, and<br>development projects in an effective,<br>efficient manner with clear and<br>transparent communication to the<br>community.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> |   |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 5.1        | Program    | Project Managers             | Develop a set of project managers<br>who can take in-house or consultant<br>projects from planning through   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   |   |

City of Vancouver

Page 36 of 49

| Big Idea              |        | Policy or | Namo              | Description   | Implementation Framework  |   |   |  |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| Big idea              | U      | Program   | Name              | Description   | Resources Needed  | City Lead   | Key Partnerships  |  |
|                       |        |           |                   | construction, working across CDD and PW.  |   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>                            |   |  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 5.2 | Program   | Communications    | Deliver information about<br>transportation projects using<br>community organizers with long-<br>standing relationships with the<br>community and with accessible<br>information. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Compensation for<br/>community partners</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Communications:<br/>Community<br/>Engagement &amp;<br/>Neighborhoods</li> </ul>      | <ul> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> </ul>   |  |
| Complete<br>Corridors | CC 5.3 | Program   | Anti-Displacement | Integrate Reside Vancouver and the<br>City's Equitable Development<br>Framework into transportation<br>projects.  | <ul> <li>Funding for<br/>programs</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning</li> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Chief Marketing<br/>Office: Diversity,<br/>Equity &amp; Inclusion<br/>Team</li> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> <li>Affordable<br/>housing partners</li> <li>Renters</li> </ul> |  |

# **Big Idea: Connect People to Transit**

Support transit use with stop access improvements, partnering with C-TRAN on bus speed and reliability, and transit-supportive land use strategies.

# Figure 22 Connect to Transit Policies and Programs

| Big Idea              | п  | Policy or<br>Program | Name              | Description   | Implementation Framework   |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|----|----------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
|                       | U  |                      |                   |   | Resources Needed   | City Lead  | Key Partnerships   |  |
| Connect to<br>Transit | Τ1 | Key Policy           | Access to Transit | Prioritize sidewalk and crosswalk<br>gaps adjacent to transit stops,<br>particularly along equity routes.<br>Identify first/last mile barriers to major | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Clark County<br/>Public Transit<br/>Benefit Area<br/>Authority (C-<br/>TRAN)</li> </ul> |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 37 of 49

| Dia Idea              |       | Policy or  | Nama                             | Description   | Implementation Framework   |   |  |  |
|-----------------------|-------|------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Big idea              | U     | Program    | Name                             |   | Resources Needed   | City Lead   | Key Partnerships   |  |
|                       |       |            |                                  | transit stops and address on a rolling basis.   |  |   | <ul> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> <li>Transportation<br/>advocates</li> <li>Mobility service<br/>providers</li> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Washington State<br/>School for the<br/>Blind</li> </ul> |  |
| Connect to<br>Transit | Τ2    | Key Policy | Enhanced Transit<br>Corridors    | In coordination with C-TRAN, build a<br>network of Enhanced Transit<br>Corridors where higher level of transit<br>service (frequency, hours of<br>operation, stop amenities) are<br>desired based on existing and future<br>density and equity needs.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                               | <ul> <li>Public Works Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>C-TRAN</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Connect to<br>Transit | T 2.1 | Policy     | Network of The<br>Vine           | Actively partner with C-TRAN to<br>continue the planning and<br>implementation of Vine corridors.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                               | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>C-TRAN</li> </ul>   |  |
| Connect to<br>Transit | ⊤2.2  | Policy     | Speed and<br>Reliability Designs | Identify a list of locations along<br>Enhanced Transit Corridors where<br>speed and reliability treatments such<br>as signal priority, queue jumps, or<br>bus lanes are needed to reduce<br>delay to bus riders. Incorporate<br>treatments into paving, complete<br>streets and signal upgrade projects.<br>As a standard practice, install TSP<br>on new signals along high frequency<br>transit routes. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Funding for improvements</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Transportation, Traffic<br/>Engineering</li> </ul>   | • C-TRAN   |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 38 of 49

| <b>Dig Ideo</b>       | п     | Policy or  | Nama                        | Departmen   | Implementation Framework   |   |   |  |
|-----------------------|-------|------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
| Big idea              | U     | Program    | Name                        | Description   | Resources Needed   | City Lead   | Key Partnerships  |  |
| Connect to<br>Transit | T 2.3 | Policy     | Equity Corridors            | Designate transit equity corridors<br>based on high equity index locations<br>and residential areas with high<br>reliance on transit. Use as a criterion<br>in project prioritization.                                | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                                   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>                          | <ul> <li>C-TRAN</li> </ul>  |  |
| Connect to<br>Transit | Т3    | Key Policy | Transit and Land<br>Use     | Support transit through compact land uses and policies that incentivize transit use.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                                   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>Planning</li> </ul>                     | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development<br/>Review</li> </ul>                 |  |
| Connect to<br>Transit | T 3.1 | Policy     | Transit Overlay<br>District | Update Transit Overlay District code<br>and extend it along Enhanced Transit<br>Corridors. This designation allows for<br>reduced parking.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                                   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>Planning,<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development<br/>Review</li> <li>C-TRAN</li> </ul> |  |
| Connect to<br>Transit | Τ4    | Key Policy | Microtransit                | Integrate shared and emerging<br>mobility technology and tools with C-<br>TRAN microtransit zones to provide a<br>suite of mobility options, especially in<br>lower-density areas without high-<br>frequency transit. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Updated<br/>technologies</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>                          | • C-TRAN  |  |

# Big Idea: Build Low-Stress Networks

Provide a low-stress bicycling and walking experience on key corridors that connects Vancouver's neighborhoods and destinations.

# Figure 23 Low-Stress Policies and Programs

| Big Idea   | ID   | Policy or<br>Program | Name                           | Description  | Implementation Framework                     |  |  |  |
|------------|------|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|            |      |                      |                                |  | Resources Needed                             | City Lead  | Key Partnerships   |  |
| Low-Stress | LS 1 | Key Policy           | Low-Stress<br>Mobility Network | Adopt a citywide low-stress BSM network that prioritizes safety and comfort for people of all ages and | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Vision Zero<br/>working group</li> <li>Roadway<br/>jurisdictions</li> </ul> |  |

P.O. Box 1995 • Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 • 360-487-8000 • TTY: 360-487-8602 • www.cityofvancouver.us

City of Vancouver

Page 39 of 49

| Dia Idea   | п      | Policy or  | Nama                                   | Description  | Implementation Framework                     |  |   |  |
|------------|--------|------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Big idea   | U      | Program    | Name                                   | Description  | <b>Resources Needed</b>                      | City Lead  | Key Partnerships  |  |
|            |        |            |  | abilities. Target a density of low-<br>stress facilities every half-mile.  |  |  | <ul> <li>Policymakers</li> <li>School districts</li> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Parks, Recreation<br/>&amp; Cultural<br/>Services</li> </ul> |  |
| Low-Stress | LS 2   | Key Policy | Pedestrian Priority<br>Streets         | Adopt a network of Pedestrian<br>Priority streets where safety and<br>comfort for people walking is<br>prioritized. Assign categories<br>(primary, secondary) based on the<br>roadway classification, level of<br>demand, and existing and planned<br>land uses. Use these categories to<br>recommend desired facilities and<br>amenities (shade, lighting, seating,<br>etc.). | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> </ul>     | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  |  |
| Low-Stress | LS 2.1 | Policy     | Community Safe<br>Routes               | Create safe routes to community<br>destinations such as parks. Identify<br>the specific needs of seniors and<br>develop safe routes for these users.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Parks, Recreation<br/>&amp; Cultural<br/>Services</li> </ul>   |  |
| Low-Stress | LS 3   | Key Policy | Active<br>Transportation<br>Navigation | Support walking and small mobility<br>by making it easy and intuitive to<br>navigate the city and find<br>destinations.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  |  |
| Low-Stress | LS 3.1 | Policy     | Maintenance<br>Protection              | Update street standards and<br>maintenance and protection of traffic<br>standards to require provision of<br>walking access during construction<br>and small mobility access if<br>construction impedes a Mobility lane.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul> |   |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 40 of 49

| <b>Dig Idea</b> | п      | Policy or  | Nama   | Description  | Implementation Framework  |  |   |
|-----------------|--------|------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Big idea        | U      | Program    | Name   | Description  | Resources Needed  | City Lead  | Key Partnerships  |
| Low-Stress      | LS 3.2 | Program    | Wayfinding                                   | Establish a citywide wayfinding<br>system for people walking or using<br>small mobility that connects low-<br>stress networks and pedestrian<br>priority corridors to essential places.<br>Include distances in minutes for<br>walking and biking. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  |
| Low-Stress      | LS 3.3 | Program    | Bicycle/Small<br>Mobility Parking            | Make the end-of-trip easy and<br>convenient by providing plentiful and<br>secure small mobility parking at<br>retail, transit, schools, and other<br>destinations.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   |
| Low-Stress      | LS 4   | Key Policy | Small Mobility and<br>Walking<br>Programming | Complement infrastructure with<br>robust programming that encourages<br>and educates people about the<br>benefits of walking and small<br>mobility.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Funds to contract with other organizations</li> <li>Promotional materials</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> <li>Advocacy groups</li> <li>Neighborhood and<br/>business<br/>associations</li> <li>School districts</li> <li>Public health<br/>organizations and<br/>service providers</li> </ul> |
| Low-Stress      | LS 4.1 | Program    | Active<br>Transportation<br>Staffing         | Increase the number of staff devoted<br>to active transportation to deliver a<br>robust active transportation program<br>for a city the size of Vancouver.   | <ul> <li>Additional staff<br/>and/or dedicated<br/>staff time</li> <li>Promotional<br/>materials</li> </ul>                 | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> |   |
| Low-Stress      | LS 4.2 | Program    | E-bike Rebate<br>Program                     | Explore the creation of an E-bike<br>rebate program focused on<br>increasing access to E-bikes for<br>individuals in low- and moderate-<br>income households.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Funding for rebates</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> |   |

City of Vancouver

#### Page 41 of 49

| Pigldoo    | п      | Policy or<br>Program | Name                     | Description   | Implementation Framework  |  |  |  |
|------------|--------|----------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Dig luea   | U      |                      |                          |   | Resources Needed  | City Lead  | Key Partnerships   |  |
| Low-Stress | LS 4.3 | Program              | Small Mobility<br>Events | Host ongoing events focused on<br>small mobility, such as group rides,<br>rodeos, demonstrations of how to put<br>your bike on the bus, safety ride<br>scooters and other devices, etc. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for event<br/>costs &amp; promotional<br/>materials</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Community-based<br/>organizations</li> <li>C-TRAN</li> <li>School districts</li> <li>Parks, Recreation<br/>&amp; Cultural<br/>Services</li> </ul> |  |

# Big Idea: Make Growth a Benefit for All

Manage growth and development to support multiple transportation options and advance climate goals.

Figure 24 Growth Policies and Programs

| Big Idea | D     | Policy or  | Nama                          | Description  | Implementation Framework                     |  |   |  |
|----------|-------|------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Big idea | U     | Program    | Name                          | Description  | Resources Needed                             | City Lead  | Key Partnerships  |  |
| Growth   | G 1   | Key Policy | Development<br>Review         | Work with development community to<br>establish a shared set of<br>requirements and expectations for<br>how development can support<br>transportation.                                     | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Land Use and<br/>Transportation<br/>Development Review</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Development<br/>community</li> </ul>           |  |
| Growth   | G 1.1 | Policy     | Transportation<br>Impact Fees | Revise the TIF project list to integrate<br>multimodal projects. Set TIF rates at<br>a level on par with other Washington<br>communities to help fund needed<br>multimodal infrastructure. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>         |   |  |
| Growth   | G 1.2 | Policy     | Frontage<br>Requirements      | Extend frontage improvements off<br>the site of the development when<br>there is a rational nexus between that<br>development and impacts to the<br>transportation network.                | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>City Legal and<br/>General Services</li> </ul> |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 42 of 49

| Disuldas |       | Policy or  | Nama                              | Description   | Implementation Framework  |   |  |
|----------|-------|------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Big idea | U     | Program    | Name                              | Description   | Resources Needed  | City Lead   | Key Partnerships                               |
| Growth   | G 2   | Key Policy | Citywide Parking<br>Policy & Code | Update parking code and policies to<br>right-size the amount of parking<br>developed with future growth and<br>create safe streets, compact urban<br>form, and encourage non-driving<br>forms of transportation.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range,<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development Review</li> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Development<br/>community</li> </ul>  |
| Growth   | G 2.1 | Policy     | Parking<br>Requirements           | Reduce parking minimums in the<br>development code and development<br>agreements, particularly in parking<br>reform areas where transit use,<br>walking, and small mobility are a<br>priority. This maximizes active uses<br>and creates inviting places. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range,<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development Review</li> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Development<br/>community</li> </ul>  |
| Growth   | G 2.2 | Policy     | Parking Design<br>Guidance        | Update off-street surface lot and parking garage design standards to require landscaping and walkways.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Development Review</li> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Development<br/>community</li> </ul>  |
| Growth   | G 2.3 | Policy     | Parking Capacity                  | Allow for shared parking and provide<br>additional reductions in parking<br>requirements to incentivize shared<br>parking agreements. This maximizes<br>the use of existing resources and<br>reduces the need for more parking.                           | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development Review</li> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Business<br/>organizations</li> </ul> |
| Growth   | G 3   | Key Policy | Parking<br>Management             | Effectively manage on and off-street<br>parking resources through adoption<br>of policies, systems, and tools<br>throughout the city.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Promotional<br/>materials and<br/>signage</li> <li>Updated<br/>technologies</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul>  |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 43 of 49

| Distildee | <b>_</b> | Policy or  | Nama                              | Departmention   | Implementation Framework   |  |  |
|-----------|----------|------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Big idea  | D        | Program    | Name                              | Description   | Resources Needed   | City Lead  | Key Partnerships   |
| Growth    | G 3.1    | Policy     | Parking<br>Operations             | Operate the parking system<br>efficiently. Adopt metrics for<br>evaluating parking performance<br>compared to City goals and use<br>pricing and other tools to<br>influence behavior.                                     | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>New software and<br/>technology</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> |  |
| Growth    | G 3.2    | Program    | Parking<br>Experience             | Make parking highly legible and easy<br>to understand from the user<br>perspective. Use technology,<br>information, wayfinding, or other<br>strategies so people can easily find<br>parking.                              | <ul> <li>New software and<br/>technology to<br/>enable vehicle<br/>detection and<br/>parking availability</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> |
| Growth    | G 3.3    | Program    | Residential<br>Parking            | Study the expansion of a residential<br>parking program (RPP) to minimize<br>parking spillover adjacent to metered<br>areas and support parking access for<br>residents and their guests in high<br>parking demand areas. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff/ or<br/>staff time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>implementation<br/>materials (signs,<br/>educational<br/>materials)</li> <li>Additional parking<br/>enforcement staff<br/>to monitor</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> |  |
| Growth    | G 4      | Key Policy | Downtown<br>Parking               | For those who drive downtown,<br>create a user-friendly, well-managed,<br>and right-sized "park once"<br>environment where people can walk<br>between destinations without moving<br>their car.                           | <ul> <li>Promotional<br/>materials</li> <li>Wayfinding and<br/>signage</li> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> |  |
| Growth    | G 4.1    | Policy     | Downtown<br>Parking<br>Strategies | Adopt and implement<br>recommendations of the 2024<br>Downtown Parking Plan Update,<br>focused on six Strategy Areas:<br>Policy, Alternative Modes,<br>Operations, Administration of the                                  | <ul> <li>Wayfinding and signage</li> <li>New software and technology</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 44 of 49

| Divides  |       | Policy or Name |   | Description  | Implementation Framework   |   |  |
|----------|-------|----------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Big idea | U     | Program        | Name  | Description  | Resources Needed   | City Lead   | Key Partnerships   |
|          |       |                |   | Parking System, Communications and Awareness, and New Capacity.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>   |   |  |
| Growth   | G 4.2 | Program        | Downtown<br>Circulator                                      | Work with C-TRAN to develop a<br>concept for a downtown circulator<br>between major destinations.  | <ul><li>Transit vehicles</li><li>Transit operators</li></ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>C-TRAN</li> </ul>   |
| Growth   | G 5   | Key Policy     | Transportation<br>Demand<br>Management<br>(TDM)             | Require transportation demand<br>management to reduce drive-alone<br>trips, offer all travelers more mobility<br>choices, and incentivize behavior<br>change to more walking, biking,<br>carpooling, and transit trips.      | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Promotional<br/>materials and<br/>signage</li> </ul>                              | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development Review</li> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>and Transportation<br/>Planning</li> </ul> |  |
| Growth   | G 5.1 | Policy         | TDM in Capital<br>Projects                                  | Require a project-specific trip<br>reduction target and TDM program in<br>capital projects. Tier trip reduction<br>requirements based on a<br>combination of land use, zone, and<br>project size/traffic impact.             | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Technology</li> <li>Funds to contract<br/>with other<br/>organizations</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Community<br/>Development:<br/>Development Review</li> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>and Transportation<br/>Planning</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Development<br/>community</li> </ul>  |
| Growth   | G 5.2 | Program        | Commute Trip<br>Reduction (CTR)<br>Refresh and<br>Expansion | The CTR program helps the city<br>reduce drive-alone trips through<br>employer-supported programs.<br>Refresh and expand the CTR<br>program to improve effectiveness<br>and respond to new travel patterns<br>post COVID-19. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Promotional materials</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Employers and<br/>local businesses</li> <li>Get There<br/>Southwest WA</li> <li>C-TRAN</li> <li>WA State<br/>Department of<br/>Transportation<br/>Commute Trip<br/>Reduction<br/>Program</li> </ul> |

#### VANCOUVER TSP MODAL ELEMENTS City of Vancouver Page 45 of 49

# Big Idea: Embrace the Future

Prepare for future mobility and data needs.

## Figure 25 Future Policies and Programs

| <b>Dig Ideo</b> | П     | Policy or  | Nama                               | Departmen   | Implementation Framework   |  |  |
|-----------------|-------|------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Big idea        | U     | Program    | Name                               | Description   | Resources Needed   | City Lead  | Key Partnerships   |
| Future          | F1    | Key Policy | Data Collection<br>and Monitoring  | Use data to track travel pattern changes over time.   | <ul> <li>Technology</li> <li>Data products and services</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>                                     | <ul> <li>Information<br/>Technology</li> </ul>                               |
| Future          | F 1.1 | Program    | Active<br>Transportation<br>Counts | Install small mobility and pedestrian<br>counters at key locations throughout<br>the city and along corridors before<br>and after complete corridor projects. | <ul> <li>Technology</li> </ul>                                     | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>                                     |  |
| Future          | F 1.2 | Program    | Location-Based<br>Services         | Determine a vendor for purchase of<br>travel pattern data to be used in<br>project planning, design, and<br>evaluation.                                       | <ul> <li>Purchase of data<br/>products and<br/>services</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Southwest WA<br/>Regional<br/>Transportation<br/>Council</li> </ul> |
| Future          | F 1.3 | Program    | Online System<br>Dashboard         | Develop a public-facing dashboard of key transportation metrics to share with the community.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                       | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation,<br/>Asset Management</li> </ul>               | <ul> <li>Information<br/>Technology</li> </ul>                               |
| Future          | F 2   | Key Policy | Climate Impacts                    | Adopt policies that will help meet the<br>City's goal of zero carbon emissions<br>by 2040.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                       | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>and Transportation<br/>Planning</li> <li>City Manager's Office<br/>Climate Action<br/>Framework</li> </ul> |  |
| Future          | F 2.1 | Policy     | Mode Targets                       | Adopt mode targets and track annually. Set targets to a level that  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>                       | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range</li> </ul>   |  |

City of Vancouver

Page 46 of 49

| Dig Ideo | п     | Policy or  | Nama                                   | Description   | Implementation Framework   |  |   |
|----------|-------|------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Big idea | U     | Program    | Name                                   | Description   | Resources Needed   | City Lead  | Key Partnerships  |
|          |       |            |  | will drastically reduce drive-alone trips.  |  | and Transportation<br>Planning   |   |
| Future   | F 2.2 | Policy     | Congestion<br>Pricing                  | Explore policy implications of demand-based charging along the city's key corridors to influence behavior.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Professional services</li> </ul>                                | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>and Transportation<br/>Planning</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Southwest WA<br/>Regional<br/>Transportation<br/>Council</li> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Vancouver Police<br/>Department</li> </ul> |
| Future   | F 2.3 | Policy     | Vehicle Miles<br>Traveled<br>Reduction | Adopt Vehicle Miles Traveled as a key metric in the planning, design, and evaluation of projects, with the goal of reducing VMT.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>and Transportation<br/>Planning</li> <li>City Manager's Office<br/>Climate Action<br/>Framework</li> </ul> |   |
| Future   | F3    | Key Policy | Technology for<br>System<br>Management | Embrace technology as a way of managing the transportation system without expanding capacity.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> <li>Updated technologies</li> </ul>                                 | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Southwest WA<br/>Regional<br/>Transportation<br/>Council</li> </ul>  |
| Future   | F 3.1 | Program    | Signal<br>Modernization                | Continue program to modernize<br>signals, including accessible<br>pedestrian signals, bicycle signals (if<br>applicable), truck detection, Leading<br>Pedestrian Intervals, and TSP on<br>Enhanced Transit Corridors. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Technology</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>C-TRAN</li> <li>Southwest WA<br/>Regional<br/>Transportation<br/>Council</li> </ul>  |
| Future   | F 3.2 | Program    | Green Wave                             | Coordinate signals along the city's key corridors and freight routes to create a green wave. Use signal   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff time</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Public Works: Streets<br/>&amp; Transportation</li> </ul>   |   |

P.O. Box 1995 • Vancouver, WA 98668-1995 • 360-487-8000 • TTY: 360-487-8602 • www.cityofvancouver.us

City of Vancouver

Page 47 of 49

| Pig Idea | п     | Policy or  | Namo                                 | Description  | I   | mplementation Framework  |   |
|----------|-------|------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Big idea | טו    | Program    | Name                                 | Description  | Resources Needed  | City Lead  | Key Partnerships  |
|          |       |            |                                      | timing to control speed and achieve steady traffic progression.  | <ul> <li>Technology</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul>  |  |   |
| Future   | F4    | Key Policy | Electric /<br>Autonomous<br>Vehicles | Set City policy around EV / AV usage and role in achieving climate goals.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning: Long-Range<br/>and Transportation<br/>Planning</li> <li>City Manager's Office<br/>Climate Action<br/>Framework</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Economic<br/>Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul>  |
| Future   | F 4.1 | Project    | City Fleet                           | Convert City fleet vehicles at the time<br>of replacement to zero-emission<br>vehicles (ZEVs) whenever applicable<br>and feasible and look for options to<br>switch to lower-carbon fuels where<br>possible.   | <ul> <li>New vehicles</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Operations &amp;<br/>Maintenance</li> <li>City Manager's Office<br/>Climate Action<br/>Framework</li> </ul>                         |   |
| Future   | F 5   | Key Policy | Emerging Mobility                    | Update City policies for how shared<br>mobility and emerging mobility<br>vendors shall operate in Vancouver.<br>Create data standards, data sharing<br>agreements, and vendor<br>requirements. Integrate equity<br>through reduced costs for people<br>with low incomes. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Legal Department</li> <li>Parks, Recreation<br/>&amp; Cultural<br/>Services</li> <li>Shared mobility<br/>companies</li> <li>Diversity, Equity &amp;<br/>Inclusion staff</li> </ul> |
| Future   | F 5.1 | Program    | Mobility Hubs                        | Identify locations for implementation<br>of mobility hubs – places where<br>multiple forms of transportation are<br>available (transit, microtransit, bike<br>share, car share). Hubs will include<br>placemaking, wayfinding, and<br>information.                       | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> <li>Identified ROW to<br/>locate hubs</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>   | C-TRAN  |

City of Vancouver

Page 48 of 49

| Dig Idea | Ē     | Policy or  | Nama                             | Departmen  | Implementation Framework  |  |   |
|----------|-------|------------|----------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Big idea | U     | Program    | Name                             | Description  | Resources Needed  | City Lead  | Key Partnerships  |
| Future   | F 5.2 | Program    | Small Mobility and Scooter Share | Pilot a small mobility and scooter<br>share program. Target station<br>placement in areas with a high equity<br>index. Subsidize membership for<br>people with low incomes.  | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff/ or<br/>dedicated staff time</li> <li>Funding for subsidy<br/>program</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Shared mobility<br/>vendors</li> </ul>   |
| Future   | F 5.3 | Program    | Mobility as a<br>Service         | Sponsor a digital platform that<br>connects residents to local mobility<br>options and creates incentives for<br>low or no-emission trips.   | <ul> <li>New software</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Comprehensive<br/>Planning:<br/>Transportation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Mobility as a service software vendors</li> <li>Data management partners</li> </ul>  |
| Future   | F6    | Key Policy | Curb<br>Management               | Develop policies and programs that<br>efficiently manage valuable curb<br>space, recognizing how changing<br>travel patterns have placed high<br>demands on this resource.   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> </ul>  |
| Future   | F 6.1 | Policy     | Dynamic Curb<br>Management       | Create a flexible, dynamic, and data-<br>driven framework for managing high-<br>demand curb spaces using tools<br>such as technology or pricing that<br>can change as quickly as every hour<br>based on demand.            | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>New software and<br/>technology</li> </ul>                     | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Economic<br/>Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul>                                  |
| Future   | F 6.2 | Program    | Small Freight<br>Management      | Develop a small freight management<br>set of strategies to accommodate<br>increasing consumer demand for e-<br>commerce and small package<br>delivery. Incentivize use of small<br>mobility vehicles for local deliveries. | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> <li>UW Urban Freight<br/>Lab</li> <li>Delivery<br/>companies</li> <li>Port of Vancouver</li> </ul> |
| Future   | F 6.3 | Program    | Freight Parking and Loading      | Create flexible, dynamic freight<br>loading standards that makes the<br>most efficient use of curb space and   | <ul> <li>Dedicated staff<br/>time</li> <li>Funding for<br/>improvements</li> </ul>                        | <ul> <li>Economic Prosperity &amp;<br/>Housing: Parking</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Public Works:<br/>Streets &amp;<br/>Transportation</li> <li>Port of Vancouver</li> </ul>   |

City of Vancouver

Page 49 of 49

| Big Idea | ID | Policy or<br>Program | Name | Description                                    | Implementation Framework |           |                  |  |
|----------|----|----------------------|------|--|--------------------------|-----------|------------------|--|
|          |    |                      |      |  | Resources Needed         | City Lead | Key Partnerships |  |
|          |    |                      |      | accommodate a range of delivery vehicle sizes. |                          |           |                  |  |