

**From:** [JAMES DESMOND](#)  
**To:** [City Council](#); [Worley, Steve](#); [Transportation and Mobility Commission Agenda Calendar](#)  
**Subject:** DO NOT WANT ANY CHANGES TO OUR CITY STREETS  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 9, 2024 1:40:42 PM

---

Some people who received this message don't often get email from jamescoydesmond@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Especially your plans for McGillvary, do not eliminate traffic lanes. Remove vehicle travel lanes is STUPID, ALL my NEIGHBORS AGREE. SO if you remove lanes, we will see to it that you and those of your friends and those in your political parties, will be removed from office. Please tell me what you are going to do.

*James C. dezZ Desmond, 17417 SE GRAHAM RD, 98683-5815*

**From:** [D.Christensen](#)  
**To:** [Transportation and Mobility Commission Agenda Calendar](#)  
**Subject:** Save our streets  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 10, 2024 11:00:26 AM

---

You don't often get email from d.christensen@ymail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I am writing to let you know that like many residence, I oppose plans being made by the city without community involvement that involves removing lanes from streets and restricting car travel. Especially on the east side where we have ample sidewalks and bike lanes that are rarely used as it stands. This is the wrong direction for the city to go and it is unacceptable to make these changes with community input.

Dale Christensen

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

**From:** [Jason Cromer](#)  
**To:** [Kennedy, Rebecca](#)  
**Cc:** [Tabor Kelly](#); [Benoit, Emily](#); [Transportation and Mobility Commission Agenda Calendar](#); [Ken Williams](#); [Leah Jackson](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Upper Main St Project Proposal Not Meeting TSP Guidelines  
**Date:** Wednesday, April 17, 2024 11:02:18 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

Hi Rebecca,

Thank you, and we appreciate your work on this!

Best,  
Jason

On Wed, Apr 17, 2024 at 6:47 AM Kennedy, Rebecca  
<[Rebecca.Kennedy@cityofvancouver.us](mailto:Rebecca.Kennedy@cityofvancouver.us)> wrote:

Thanks Jason, we continue to work on this project and appreciate you and the group's engagement and willingness to spend time working toward a solution. I've forwarded this to the project team. We'll discuss more in the next few weeks and follow up. As always, appreciate your partnership.

**Rebecca Kennedy** | Deputy Director

Pronouns: She/Her

City of Vancouver, WA

Community Development Department

**M:** (360) 624-6070 | **O:** (360) 487-7896

[rebecca.kennedy@cityofvancouver.us](mailto:rebecca.kennedy@cityofvancouver.us)

[www.cityofvancouver.us](http://www.cityofvancouver.us)



Learn more about [Our Vancouver](#): an effort to update our City's plan for growth and development over the next 20 years.

---

**From:** Jason Cromer <[jasonmcromer@gmail.com](mailto:jasonmcromer@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 16, 2024 7:06 PM  
**To:** Kennedy, Rebecca <[Rebecca.Kennedy@cityofvancouver.us](mailto:Rebecca.Kennedy@cityofvancouver.us)>  
**Cc:** Tabor Kelly <[taborkelly@gmail.com](mailto:taborkelly@gmail.com)>; Benoit, Emily <[Emily.Benoit@cityofvancouver.us](mailto:Emily.Benoit@cityofvancouver.us)>; Transportation and Mobility Commission Agenda Calendar <[TransMobilityCommissionAgendaCal@cityofvancouver.us](mailto:TransMobilityCommissionAgendaCal@cityofvancouver.us)>; Ken Williams <[ken\\_williams\\_98664@msn.com](mailto:ken_williams_98664@msn.com)>; Leah Jackson <[nichewine@gmail.com](mailto:nichewine@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** Upper Main St Project Proposal Not Meeting TSP Guidelines

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Rebecca,

I wanted to bring up the current [Upper Main St project](#) and the current designs proposed. We've had two meetings with the project team (Maggie Derk), and also attended the TMC meeting where the designs were shown. The current design only has bicycle and mobility lanes proposed down to 40th St, and nowhere else in the corridor going south.

According to the [TSP](#) (that was voted in by City Council), Upper Main is identified as a mobility corridor and has mobility lanes outlined in the TSP along the entire corridor. You can see these on pages **26**, **33**, and **39** of the TSP, outlining that Upper Main will require micromobility lanes. Tabor testified on this in the last TMC meeting in April, and referenced that this is in the TMC. The proposed designs for this project completely neglect this.

Furthermore, since we (are supposed to) follow NACTO guidelines in WA, and this is supposed to be a Complete Streets project, the lack of any mobility lanes connecting to 39th St or 33rd St goes against those guidelines. It's also worth noting that since Upper Main has businesses that are utilized by many bicyclists, not adding safe infrastructure for micromobility across this corridor goes against our initiatives as outlined in the Climate Action Plan and Vancouver's Comprehensive Plan. It presents a danger to anyone using micromobility and doesn't encourage the usage of micromobility.

In our meeting with Maggie today, she mentioned that from 33rd to 39th St, a northbound lane is being removed, opening an entire lane width. Her proposed design would make this space an interior buffer that is completely unused. This could instead be a bicycle lane that would connect to the 33rd St mobility network. In our meeting, she acknowledged this and stated that it would be possible, but that the TSP doesn't require it. My response to this is two fold: Firstly, it is required in the TSP. Secondly, even if it is not required, why not use the otherwise wasted space to turn into bicycle lanes that would connect 33rd and 39th with Upper Main.

I couldn't get a direct response to that during the meeting.

I wanted to raise this because I fear that this project is dodging what is outlined in our TSP, and is completely missing two serious connections in the mobility network: Upper Main and 39th, and Upper Main and 33rd. The width/space is there, it's outlined in our TSP, it's outlined in NACTO and Complete Streets documentation, and it would create a nice grid of connections amongst several bicycle corridors, both existing and planned.

Thank you.

Best,

Jason

**From:** [Jason Cromer](#)  
**To:** [Derk, Maggie](#); [Kennedy, Rebecca](#); [Lopossa, Ryan](#)  
**Cc:** [Leah Jackson](#); [Peter L. Fels](#); [Transportation and Mobility Commission Agenda Calendar](#)  
**Subject:** Dutch Cycling Embassy on Cycling & Intermodality  
**Date:** Tuesday, April 23, 2024 1:44:40 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the City of Vancouver. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi all,

I just wanted to share [this short article](#) on combined modalities from a Dutch planning perspective.

Quote from the article that I feel is relevant to our adapting city today, and how we could lean more into these changes to further increase share in modalities:

Even cars can benefit from a strong bicycle plan. As many say: the best car plan is a bicycle plan. By stimulating car drivers to switch to other modalities, such as the bike, more space on the road is opened up, which can be used by essential traffic such as emergency services and heavy goods transport.

Best,  
Jason